1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 GREG PERIEFF, et al., Case No. <u>16-cv-01708-JSW</u> 7 Plaintiffs, 8 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE PRO v. HAC VICE APPLICATION 9 CLIF BAR & COMPANY, Re: Dkt. Nos. 21, 22, 23 10 Defendant. 11 12 13 The Court has received Defendant Clif Bar & Company's objections and declaration in opposition to the pro hac vice application of Joseph N. Kravec, Jr., Esq. Because the Court ruled 14 15 on Mr. Kravec's pro hac vice application without waiting for an opposition, the Court will consider Defendant's objections and declaration without requiring Defendant to satisfy the 16 requirements for a motion for reconsideration. 17 Accordingly, Plaintiffs are HEREBY ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE why the Court 18 should not vacate the April 21, 2016 order granting Mr. Kravec's application for admission pro 19 hac vice. By Tuesday, April 26, 2016, at 12:00 p.m. PDT (noon), Plaintiffs may file a response to 20 Defendant's objections to the pro hac vice application. By Wednesday, April 27, 2016, at 12:00 21 p.m. PDT (noon), Defendant may file a reply to Plaintiffs' response. 22 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: April 22, 2016 24 25 Huy S White 26 27 United States District Judge

28